Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/549,729	VALLEBRANT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Igor Kershteyn	3745
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Igor Kershteyn</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Daniel J. Whitman (Reg. No. 43,987)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 September 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Prior art rejection set forth in the previous Office action.		
Claims discussed: 1, 11		
Prior art documents discussed: US4,611,527.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Agreement was reached to amend claims to overcome 102 rejection set forth in the previous Office action (See Examiner's Amendment)		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Igor Kershteyn/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3745	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)